
\*Name



\*country:

**2. IPPC Implementation Section A: General Provisions**

Please rate and then provide comment on your country’s implementation of key responsibilities and core functions identified in the Convention.

Implementation of general provisions relating to the organizational arrangements for national plant protection.

**\*Has a single official national plant protection organization been established by your country?**

mlj

Yes

mlj

Partially

mlj No

mlj

Only through IPP

Please provide further detail:

**\*Has your NPPO published a description of its official national plant protection organization via the IPP?**

mlj

Yes

mlj No

mlj

Partially

Please provide further detail:

5

6

**\*Has your NPPO submitted changes to its official national plant protection organization and informed the Secretariat of the IPPC ­ via the IPP?**

mlj

Yes

mlj No

mlj

Partially

Please provide further detail

5

6

**\*Has your NPPO provided a description of its organizational arrangements for plant protection to another contracting party, upon request?**

mlj

Yes

mlj No

mlj

Partially

Please provide further detail

5

6

**3. IPPC Implementation ­ Section B: NPPO Responsibilities**

Please indicate the extent to which responsibilities of your NPPO are being implemented. (in reference to activities undertaken under the authority of the NPPO)

**\*Issuance of phytosanitary certificates (Art. IV, 2a)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**\*Surveillance of plants and their growing environment (Art. IV, 2b)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**\*Inspection of consignments of plants/plant product moving in international traffic**

**(Art. IV, 2c)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**\*Treatment of consignments (Art. IV, 2d)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**\*Protection of endangered areas (Art. IV, 2e)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**\*Designation and maintenance and surveillance of pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence (Art. IV, 2e)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**\*Conduct of pest risk analysis (Art. IV, 2f)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**\*Phytosanitary security of consigments ensured through appropriate procedures (Art. IV, 2g)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**\*Staff development and training (Art. IV, 2h)**

Full Implementation Moderate Weak None

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj

Please provide further detail

**4. IPPC Implementation ­ Section C: Key responsibilities and core functions**

Please rate the extent to which your NPPO provides support for the distribution of information concerning the following:

**\*Distribution of information regarding regulated pests and the means for their prevention and control (Art. IV, 3a)**

Very strong Strong Moderate Weak Not at all

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

Please provide further detail

5

6

**\*Research and investigation in the field of plant protection (Art. IV, 3b)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

5

6

**\*Issuance of phytosanitary regulations (Art. IV, 3c)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

5

6

**\*Performance of other such functions as may be required by the Convention (Art. IV,**

**3d)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

5

6

**5. IPPC Implementation ­ Section D**

Please rate and then provide comment on your country’s implementation of key responsibilities and core functions identified in the Convention.

Article V – Phytosanitary Certification – Please indicate the level of implementation of phytosanitary certification.

**\*Arrangements are in place to enable phytosanitary certification (Art. V, 1)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

**\*Issuance of phytosanitary certificates are based on inspection and related activities**

**(Art. V, 2)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

**\*Phytosanitary certificates are issued by public officers who are technically qualified and duly authorized by the official NPPO. (Art. V, 2a)**

Very strong Strong Moderate Weak Not at all

nmlkj nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

Other (please specify)

**\*The requirements for additional declarations are limited to those that are technically justified (Art. V, 2a)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |

General Comments

**6. IPPC Implementation ­ Section E**

Please rate and then provide comment on your country’s implementation of key responsibilities and core functions identified in the Convention.

Article VII – Requirements in relation to imports.

**\*Pest Risk Analysis (PRA) is conducted**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

**\*Phytosanitary measures are technically justified.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

**\*Phytosanitary requirements, restrictions and prohibitions are publicly available to contracting parties**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*Designated points of entry are publicy available to contracting parties**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*Inspection and other phytosanitary procedures take place as promptly as possible**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

**\*Inspection and other phytosanitary procedures are prioritized and performed with due regard to their perishability. (Art. V 2e)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |

**\*Exporting contracting parties are notified of significant cases of non­compliance with phytosanitary certification i.e. Detection of a regulated pest, deficiencies or absence of**

**a certificate**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*You investigate significant cases of non compliance that are reported by an importing contracting party**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*The result of investigations of significant cases of non­compliance are reported to the importing contracting party concerned**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*Phytosanitary measures are modified promptly when technically justified to address phytosanitary risk**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*Pest status information is developed, maintained and made available**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Please provide further detail |  |  |  |  |  |

**7. IPPC Implementation ­ Section F**

Please rate and then provide comment on your country’s implementation of key responsibilities and core functions identified in the Convention.

Article VIII – International Cooperation – Please indicate the level of implementation of the following activities.

**\*Participation in international campaigns for combatting pests that may seriously threaten crop production**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| General Comments |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*Providing technical and biological information for pest risk analysis**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| General Comments |  |  |  |  |  |

**\*Contact Point designated and posted on the IPP**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |

General Comments

**Cooperating in the exchange of information ­ particularly the reporting of the**

**occurrence, outbreak or spread of pests that may be of immediate or potential danger**

**(Art. VIII, 1a)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very strong | Strong | Moderate | Weak | Not at all |
| nmlkj | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |

General Comments

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **8. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures** | | |
| In view of the 36 adopted ISPMs listed below, please:  **\*Rate your degree of implementation of the following ISPM**  Low degree of High degree of  Not at all Not Applicable implementation implementation  ISPM No. 1 (2006) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Phytosanitary principles for the protection of plants  and the application of phytosanitary measures in international trade  ISPM No. 2 (2007) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Framework for pest risk analysis  ISPM No. 3 (2005) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Guidelines for the export, shipment, import and release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms  ISPM No. 4 (1995) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Requirements for the establishment of pest free areas  ISPM No. 5 (2009) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Glossary of phytosanitary terms  ISPM No. 6 (1997) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Guidelines for surveillance  ISPM No. 7 (1997) Export nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  certification system  ISPM No. 8 (1998) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Determination of pest status in an area  ISPM No. 9 (1998) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Guidelines for pest eradication programmes  ISPM No. 10 (1999) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Requirements for the establishment of pest free places of production and pest free production sites  ISPM No. 11 (2004) Pest nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  risk analysis for quarantine pests, including analysis of environmental risks and living modified organisms  ISPM No. 12 (2001) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates | | |
|  | ISPM No. 13 (2001) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Guidelines for the notification of non­ compliance and  emergency action |  |

ISPM No. 14 (2002) The use of integrated measures in a systems approach for pest risk management

ISPM No. 15 (2009) Guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade

mlj

nmlkj

jlm

jklmn

mlj mlj

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 16 (2002) Regulated non­quarantine pests: concept and application

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 17 (2002) Pest reporting

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 18 (2003) Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 19 (2003) Guidelines on lists of regulated pests

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 20 (2004) Guidelines for a phytosanitary import regulatory system

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 21 (2004) Pest risk analysis for regulated non­quarantine pests

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 22 (2005) Requirements for the establishment of areas of low pest prevalence

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 23 (2005) Guidelines for inspection

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 24 (2005) Guidelines for the determination and recognition of equivalence of phytosanitary measures

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 25 (2006) Consignments in transit

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 26 (2006) Establishment of pest free areas for fruit flies (Tephritidae)

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 27 (2006) Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 28 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments for regulated pests

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 29 (2007) Recognition of pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 30 (2008) Establishment of areas of

mlj mlj mlj mlj

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| low pest prevalence for  fruit flies (Tephritidae) |  | | | |
| ISPM No. 31 (2008) | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Methodologies for |  |  |  |  |
| sampling consignments |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 32 (2009) | mlj | mlj | mlj | mlj |
| Categorization of |  |  |  |  |
| commodities according to |  |  |  |  |
| their pest risk |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 33 (2010) Pest | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| free potato(Solanum spp.) |  |  |  |  |
| micropropagative material |  |  |  |  |
| and minitubers for |  |  |  |  |
| internationl trade |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 34 (2010) | mlj | mlj | mlj | mlj |
| Design and operation of |  |  |  |  |
| post­entry quarantine |  |  |  |  |
| stations for plants |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 35 (2012) | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Systems approach for pest |  |  |  |  |
| management of fruit flies |  |  |  |  |
| (Tephritidae) |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 36 (2012) | mlj | mlj | mlj | mlj |
| Integrated measures for |  |  |  |  |
| plants for planting |  |  |  |  |

**9. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures**

In view of the 36 established ISPMs listed below, please select the key factors that support or hinder the implementation of the ISPM.

**Key factors contributing to a high degree of implementation**

Sufficient support

There are

Good

Availability of long­

This

This ISPM

This

sufficient

for

financial

Sufficient

infrastructure

Sufficient

facilities

communication

term

ISPM is is highly or standard is

qualified

resources supporting the

supporting the

and

supporting

not verymoderately

easy to

personnel to

(such as implementationimplementation

coordination

policies

relevant

relevant

implement

support

implementation

budget and funding)

of this ISPM

of this ISPM

among

stakeholders

and

operational plans

ISPM No. 1 (2006) Phytosanitary principles for the protection of plants and the application of

phytosanitary measures in

international trade

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 2 (2007) Framework for pest risk analysis

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 3 (2005) Guidelines for the export, shipment, import and release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 4 (1995) Requirements for the establishment of pest free areas

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 5 (2009) Glossary of phytosanitary terms

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 6 (1997) Guidelines for surveillance

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 7 (1997) Export certification system

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 8 (1998) Determination of pest status in an area

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 9 (1998) Guidelines for pest eradication programmes

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 10 (1999) Requirements for the establishment of pest free places of production and pest free production sites

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 11 (2004) Pest risk analysis for quarantine pests,

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

including analysis of environmental risks and living modified organisms

**10. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures**

In view of the 36 established ISPMs listed below, please select the key factors that support or hinder the implementation of the ISPM.

**Key factors contributing to a high degree of implementation**

Sufficient support

There are

Good

Availability of long­

This

This ISPM

This

sufficient

for

financial

Sufficient

infrastructure

Sufficient

facilities

communication

term

ISPM is is highly or standard is

qualified

resources supporting the

supporting the

and

supporting

not very moderately

easy to

personnel to

(such as implementationimplementation

coordination

policies

relevant

relevant

implement

support

implementation

budget and funding)

of this ISPM

of this ISPM

among

stakeholders

and

operational plans

ISPM No. 12 (2001) Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 13 (2001) Guidelines for the notification of non­ compliance and emergency action

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 14 (2002) The use of integrated measures in a systems approach for pest risk management

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 15 (2009) Guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 16 (2002) Regulated non­ quarantine pests: concept and application

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 17 (2002) Pest reporting

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 18 (2003) Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 19 (2003) Guidelines on lists of regulated pests

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 20 (2004) Guidelines for a phytosanitary import regulatory system

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 21 (2004) Pest risk analysis for regulated non­quarantine pests

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 22 (2005) Requirements for the establishment of areas of low pest prevalence

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 23 (2005) fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

Guidelines for inspection

**11. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures**

In view of the 36 established ISPMs listed below, please select the key factors that support or hinder the implementation of the ISPM.

**Key factors contributing to a high degree of implementation**

Sufficient support

There are

Good

Availability of long­

This

This ISPM

This

sufficient

for

financial

Sufficient

infrastructure

Sufficient

facilities

communication

term

ISPM is is highly or standard is

qualified

resources supporting the

supporting the

and

supporting

not very moderately

easy to

personnel to

(such as implementationimplementation

coordination

policies

relevant

relevant

implement

support

implementation

budget and funding)

of this ISPM

of this ISPM

among

stakeholders

and

operational plans

ISPM No. 24 (2005) Guidelines for the determination and recognition of equivalence of phytosanitary measures

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 25 (2006) Consignments in transit

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 26 (2006) Establishment of pest free areas for fruit flies (Tephritidae)

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 27 (2006) Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 28 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments for regulated pests

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 29 (2007) Recognition of pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 30 (2008) Establishment of areas of low pest prevalence for fruit flies (Tephritidae)

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 31 (2008) Methodologies for sampling consignments

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 32 (2009) Categorization of commodities according to their pest risk

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 33 (2010) Pest free potato(Solanum spp.)micropropagative material and minitubers for internationl trade

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 34 (2010) Design and operation of post­entry quarantine stations for plants

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 35 (2012) I I I I I I I I I I

Systems approach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritidae)

ISPM No. 36 (2012) **r: n n n n n r: r: r:**

Integrated measures for plants for planting

**12. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures**

In view of the 36 established ISPMs listed below, please select the key factors that support or hinder the implementation of the ISPM.

**Key factors contributing to a low degree of implementation**

Insufficient

Non­

availability

This

This ISPM

This

There are

insufficient

support for

financial

Insufficient infrastructure

Insufficient facilities

Insufficient

communication

of long­

term

ISPM is is highly or standard is

not verymoderately difficult to

qualified

personnel to

resources

(such as

supporting the

supporting the

and

coordination

supporting

relevant

relevant

implement

support

budget

implementationimplementation

among

policies

implementation

and funding)

of this ISPM

of this ISPM

stakeholders

and

operational plans

ISPM No. 1 (2006) Phytosanitary principles for the protection of plants and the application of phytosanitary measures in international trade

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 2 (2007) Framework for pest risk analysis

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 3 (2005) Guidelines for the export, shipment, import and release of

biological control agents and other

beneficial organisms

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 4 (1995) Requirements for the establishment of pest free areas

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 5 (2009) Glossary of phytosanitary terms

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 6 (1997) Guidelines for surveillance

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 7 (1997) Export certification system

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 8 (1998) Determination of pest status in an area

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 9 (1998) Guidelines for pest eradication programmes

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 10 (1999) Requirements for the establishment of pest free places of

production and pest free production sites

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 11 (2004) Pest risk analysis for quarantine pests, including analysis of environmental risks and living modified organisms

I I I I I Il I I I

**13. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures**

In view of the 36 established ISPMs listed below, please select the key factors that support or hinder the implementation of the ISPM.

**Key factors contributing to a low degree of implementation**

Insufficient

Non­

availability

This

This ISPM

This

There are

insufficient

support for

financial

Insufficient infrastructure

Insufficient facilities

Insufficient

communication

of long­

term

ISPM is is highly or standard is

not verymoderately difficult to

qualified

personnel to

resources

(such as

supporting the

supporting the

and

coordination

supporting

relevant

relevant

implement

support

budget

implementationimplementation

among

policies

implementation

and funding)

of this ISPM

of this ISPM

stakeholders

and

operational plans

ISPM No. 12 (2001) Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 13 (2001) Guidelines for the notification of non­ compliance and emergency action

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 14 (2002) The use of integrated measures in a systems approach for pest risk management

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 15 (2009) Guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 16 (2002) Regulated non­ quarantine pests: concept and application

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 17 (2002) Pest reporting

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 18 (2003) Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 19 (2003) Guidelines on lists of regulated pests

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 20 (2004) Guidelines for a phytosanitary import regulatory system

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 21 (2004) Pest risk analysis for regulated non­ quarantine pests

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 22 (2005) Requirements for the establishment of areas

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

of low pest prevalence

ISPM No. 23 (2005) Guidelines for inspection

**r r r r r r** r: **r r**

**14. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures**

In view of the 36 established ISPMs listed below, please select the key factors that support or hinder the implementation of the ISPM.

**Key factors contributing to a low degree of implementation**

Insufficient

Non­

availability

This

This ISPM

This

There are

insufficient

support for

financial

Insufficient infrastructure

Insufficient facilities

Insufficient

communication

of long­

term

ISPM is is highly or standard is

not verymoderately difficult to

qualified

personnel to

resources

(such as

supporting the

supporting the

and

coordination

supporting

relevant

relevant

implement

support

budget

implementationimplementation

among

policies

implementation

and funding)

of this ISPM

of this ISPM

stakeholders

and

operational plans

ISPM No. 24 (2005) Guidelines for the determination and recognition of equivalence of phytosanitary measures

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 25 (2006) Consignments in transit

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 26 (2006) Establishment of pest free areas for fruit flies (Tephritidae)

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 27 (2006) Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 28 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments for regulated pests

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 29 (2007) Recognition of pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 30 (2008) Establishment of areas of low pest prevalence for fruit flies (Tephritidae)

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 31 (2008) Methodologies for sampling consignments

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 32 (2009) Categorization of commodities according to their pest risk

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

ISPM No. 33 (2010) Pest free potato (Solanum spp.) micropropagative material and minitubers for internationl trade

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 34 (2010) Design and operation of

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

post­entry quarantine stations for plants

ISPM No. 35 (2012) Systems approach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritidae)

fec fec fec

cef

fec fec

cef

fec fec

ISPM No. 36 (2012) Integrated measures for plants for growing

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

cdefg

gfedc

cdefg

gfedc gfedc

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **15. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures** | | |
| In view of the 36 adopted ISPMs listed below, please indicate whether you have received technical assistance to support the implementation of the standard in the past 5 years.  **\*Please select the appropriate response**  Yes No Don't Know Not Applicable  ISPM No. 1 (2006) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Phytosanitary principles for the protection of plants  and the application of phytosanitary measures in international trade  ISPM No. 2 (2007) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Framework for pest risk analysis  ISPM No. 3 (2005) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Guidelines for the export, shipment, import and release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms  ISPM No. 4 (1995) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Requirements for the establishment of pest free areas  ISPM No. 5 (2009) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Glossary of phytosanitary terms  ISPM No. 6 (1997) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Guidelines for surveillance  ISPM No. 7 (1997) Export nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  certification system  ISPM No. 8 (1998) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Determination of pest status in an area  ISPM No. 9 (1998) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Guidelines for pest eradication programmes  ISPM No. 10 (1999) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Requirements for the establishment of pest free places of production and pest free production sites  ISPM No. 11 (2004) Pest nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  risk analysis for quarantine pests, including analysis of environmental risks and living modified organisms  ISPM No. 12 (2001) mlj mlj mlj mlj  Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates | | |
|  | ISPM No. 13 (2001) nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj nmlkj  Guidelines for the notification of non­ compliance and  emergency action |  |

ISPM No. 14 (2002) The use of integrated measures in a systems approach for pest risk management

ISPM No. 15 (2009) Guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade

mlj

nmlkj

jlm

jklmn

mlj mlj

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 16 (2002) Regulated non­quarantine pests: concept and application

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 17 (2002) Pest reporting

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 18 (2003) Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 19 (2003) Guidelines on lists of regulated pests

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 20 (2004) Guidelines for a phytosanitary import regulatory system

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 21 (2004) Pest risk analysis for regulated non­quarantine pests

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 22 (2005) Requirements for the establishment of areas of low pest prevalence

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 23 (2005) Guidelines for inspection

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 24 (2005) Guidelines for the determination and recognition of equivalence of phytosanitary measures

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 25 (2006) Consignments in transit

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 26 (2006) Establishment of pest free areas for fruit flies (Tephritidae)

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 27 (2006) Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 28 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments for regulated pests

mlj mlj mlj mlj

ISPM No. 29 (2007) Recognition of pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence

nmlkj

jklmn

nmlkj nmlkj

ISPM No. 30 (2008) Establishment of areas of

mlj mlj mlj mlj

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| low pest prevalence for  fruit flies (Tephritidae) |  | | | |
| ISPM No. 31 (2008) | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Methodologies for |  |  |  |  |
| sampling consignments |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 32 (2009) | mlj | mlj | mlj | mlj |
| Categorization of |  |  |  |  |
| commodities according to |  |  |  |  |
| their pest risk |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 33 (2010) Pest | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| free potato(Solanum spp.) |  |  |  |  |
| micropropagative material |  |  |  |  |
| and minitubers for |  |  |  |  |
| internationl trade |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 34 (2010) | mlj | mlj | mlj | mlj |
| Design and operation of |  |  |  |  |
| post­entry quarantine |  |  |  |  |
| stations for plants |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No.35 (2012) | nmlkj | jklmn | nmlkj | nmlkj |
| Systems approach for pest |  |  |  |  |
| risk management of fruit |  |  |  |  |
| flies (Tephritidae) |  |  |  |  |
| ISPM No. 36 (2012) | mlj | mlj | mlj | mlj |
| Integrated measures for |  |  |  |  |
| plants for planting |  |  |  |  |

**16. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures**

**Please identify 3 main challenges for the implementation of the most relevant standards**

**for your country**

5

6

**\*Please check those ISPMs you consider to be highest priority for your country to implement.**

cef

trade

ISPM No. 1 (2006) Phytosanitary principles for the protection of plants and the application of phytosanitary measures in international

fec

ISPM No. 2 (1995) Guidelines for pest risk analysis

cef

ISPM No. 3 (2005) Guidelines for the export, shipment, import and release of biological control agents and other beneficial

organisms

fec

ISPM No. 4 (1995) Requirements for the establishment of pest free areas

fec

ISPM No. 5 (2006) Glossary of phytosanitary terms

fec

ISPM No. 6 (1997) Guidelines for Surveillance

fec

ISPM No. 7 (1997) Export certification system

fec

ISPM No. 8 (1998) Determination of pest status in an area

fec

ISPM No. 9 (1998) Guidelines for pest eradication programmes

cef

ISPM No. 10 (1999) Requirements for the establishment of pest free places of production and pest free production sites

cef

ISPM No. 11 (2004) Pest risk analysis for quarantine pests including analysis of environmental risks and living modified organisms

fec

ISPM No. 12 (2001) Guidelines for phytosanitary certificates

cef

ISPM No. 13 (2001) Guidelines for the notification of non­compliance and emergency action

cef

ISPM No. 14 (2002) The use of integrated measures in a systems approach for pest risk management

fec

ISPM No. 15 (2002) Guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade

fec

ISPM No. 16 (2002) Regulated non­quarantine pests: concept and application

fec

ISPM No.17 (2002) Pest reporting

cef

ISPM No. 18 (2003) Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure

fec

ISPM No. 19 (2003) Guidelines on lists of regulated pests

fec

ISPM No. 20 (2004) Guidelines for a phytosanitary import regulatory system

fec

ISPM No. 21 (2004) Pest risk analysis for regulated non­quarantine pests

cef

ISPM No. 22 (2005) Requirements for the establishment of areas of low pest prevalence

fec

ISPM No. 23 (2005) Guidelines for inspection

cef

ISPM No. 24 (2005) Guidelines for the determination and recognition of equivalence of phytosanitary measures

fec

ISPM No. 25 (2006) Consignments in transit

fec

ISPM No. 26 (2006) Establishment of pest free areas for fruit flies (tephritidae)

fec

ISPM No. 27 (2006) Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests

fec

ISPM No. 28 (2007) Phytosanitary treatments for regulated pests

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 1 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Anastrepha ludens

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 2 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Anastrepha obliqua

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 3 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Anastrepha serpentina

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 4 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Bactrocera jarvisi

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 5 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Bactrocera tryoni

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 6 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Cydia pomonella

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 7 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for fruit flies of the family Tephritidae (generic)

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 8 (2009) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Rhagoletis pomonella

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 9 (2010) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Conotrachelus nenuphar

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 10 (2010) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Grapholita molesta

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 11 (2010) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Grapholita molesta under hypoxia

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 12 (2011) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Cylas formicarius elegantulus

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 13 (2011) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Euscepes postfasciatus

cef

ISPM No. 28 part 14 (2011) Phytosanitary treatments (2007): Irradiation treatment for Ceratitis capitata

cef

ISPM No. 29 (2007) Recognition of pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence

cef

ISPM No. 30 (2008) Establishment of areas of low pest prevalence for fruit flies (Tephritidae)

fec

ISPM No. 31 (2009) Methodologies for sampling of consignments

fec

ISPM No. 32 (2009) Categorization of commodities according to their pest risk

cef

ISPM No. 33 (2010) Pest free potato (Solanum spp.) micropropagative material and minitubers for international trade

cef

ISPM No. 34 (2010) Design and operation of post­entry quarantine stations for plants

cef

ISPM No. 35 (2012) Systems approach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritidae)

fec

ISPM No. 36 (2012) Integrated measures for plants for planting

----------------------------------

17.Thank you for your participation.The IRSS Team

If you have any suggestions on how to improve the survey you have just completed, please describe below.

